arXiv:1106.0792v1 [math.AC] 4 Jun 2011

# Polynomial maps with invertible sums of Jacobian matrices and of directional derivatives[*]

Hongbo Guo, Michiel de Bondt, Xiankun Du[†], Xiaosong Sun
School of Mathematics, Jilin University, Changchun 130012, China
Radboud University, Nijmegen, The Netherlands[‡]
Email: 0417ghb@163.com, debondt@math.ru.nl, duxk@jlu.edu.cn,
sunxs@jluedu.cn

January 27, 2013

## Abstract

Let $F : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^m$ be a polynomial map with $\deg F = d \geq 2$. We prove that $F$ is invertible if $m = n$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} (\mathcal{J}F)|_{\alpha_i}$ is invertible for all $i$, which is trivially the case for invertible quadratic maps.

More generally, we prove that for affine lines $L = \{\beta + \mu\gamma \mid \mu \in \mathbb{C}\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ $(\gamma \neq 0)$, $F|_L$ is linearly rectifiable, if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} (\mathcal{J}F)|_{\alpha_i} \cdot \gamma \neq 0$ for all $\alpha_i \in L$. This appears to be the case for all affine lines $L$ when $F$ is injective and $d \leq 3$.

We also prove that if $m = n$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\mathcal{J}F)|_{\alpha_i}$ is invertible for all $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}^n$, then $F$ is a composition of an invertible linear map and an invertible polynomial map $X + H$ with linear part $X$, such that the subspace generated by $\{(\mathcal{J}H)|_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{C}^n\}$ consists of nilpotent matrices.

**Keywords:** Jacobian matrices, Jacobian conjecture, polynomial embedding, linearly rectifiable
MSC(2000): 14R10, 14R15

## 1 Introduction

Denote by $\mathcal{J}F$ the Jacobian matrix of a polynomial map $F : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$. The Jacobian conjecture states that $F$ is invertible if $\mathcal{J}F$ is invertible, or equivalently if $(\mathcal{J}F)|_\alpha$ is invertible for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^n$. The conjecture has been reduced to polynomial maps of the form $F = X + H$, where $H$ is homogeneous (of degree 3) and $\mathcal{J}H$ is nilpotent, by Bass, Connell and Wright in [1], and independently by

---

[*] Supported by NSF of China (No.11071097, No.11026039) and "211 Project" and "985 Project" of Jilin University

[†] Corresponding author

[‡] Institute of second author

Yagzhev in [13]. Subsequent reductions are to the case where for the polynomial map $F = X + H$ above, each component of $H$ is a cube of a linear form, by Drużkowski in [7], and to the case where $\mathcal{J}H$ is symmetric, by De Bondt and Van den Essen in [2], but these reductions cannot be applied simultaneously, see also [3]. More details about the Jacobian conjecture can be found in [8] and [4].

Invertibility of a polynomial map $F$ has been examined by several authors under certain conditions on the evaluated Jacobian matrices $(\mathcal{J}F)|_{\alpha},\ \alpha \in \mathbb{C}^n$. With an extra assumption that $F - X$ is cubic homogeneous, Yagzhev proved in [13] that if $(\mathcal{J}F)|_{\alpha_1} + (\mathcal{J}F)|_{\alpha_2}$ is invertible for all $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{C}^n$, then the polynomial map $F$ is invertible. The Jacobian matrix $\mathcal{J}H$ of a polynomial map $H$ is called strongly nilpotent if $(\mathcal{J}H)|_{\alpha_1} \cdot (\mathcal{J}H)|_{\alpha_2} \cdot \dots \cdot (\mathcal{J}H)|_{\alpha_n} = 0$ for all $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Van den Essen and Hubbers proved in [9] that $\mathcal{J}H$ is strongly nilpotent if and only if there exists $T \in GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $T^{-1}\mathcal{J}(H)T$ is strictly upper triangular, if and only if the polynomial map $F = X + H$ is linearly triangularizable (so $F$ is invertible). This result was generalized by Yu in [14], where he additionally observed that $\mathcal{J}H$ is already strongly nilpotent if $(\mathcal{J}H)|_{\alpha_1} \cdot (\mathcal{J}H)|_{\alpha_2} \cdot \dots \cdot (\mathcal{J}H)|_{\alpha_m} = 0$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

In [11], Sun extended the notion of strong nilpotency and proved that a polynomial map $F = X + H$ is invertible if the Jacobian matrix $\mathcal{J}H$ is *additive-nilpotent*, i.e. $\sum_{i=1}^{m} (\mathcal{J}H)|_{\alpha_i}$ is nilpotent for each positive integer $m$ and all $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}^n$, which generalizes results in [9,12–14]. Instead of looking at polynomial maps $F = X + H$ such that $\mathcal{J}H$ is nilpotent, we look at polynomial maps $F$ in general, and assume that $\det \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} (\mathcal{J}F)|_{\alpha_i} \neq 0$ for all $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}^n$, where $d = \deg F$. More generally, we only assume that $\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} (\mathcal{J}F)|_{\alpha_i} \cdot \gamma \neq 0$ and only for $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}^n$ which are collinear, where $\gamma \neq 0$ is the direction of the line.

Observe that if $F = X + H$ is a polynomial map such that $\mathcal{J}H$ is additive-nilpotent, then $\sum_{i=1}^{m} (\mathcal{J}\tilde{F})|_{\alpha_i}$ is invertible for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}^n$, where $\tilde{F} = L_1 \circ F \circ L_2$ is a composition of $F$ and invertible linear maps $L_1$ and $L_2$. Conversely, it is interesting to describe the polynomial maps such that sums of the evaluated Jacobian matrices are invertible. In this paper, we first prove that a polynomial map $F$ of degree $d$ is invertible if $\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} (\mathcal{J}F)|_{\alpha_i}$ is invertible for all $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}^n$. This generalizes results of Wang in [12], Yagzhev in [13], Van den Essen and Hubbers in [9] and Sun in [11]. Then we prove the invertibility of a polynomial map $F$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\mathcal{J}F)|_{\alpha_i}$ is invertible for all $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}^n$, and finally characterize such a polynomial map as a composition of an invertible linear map and an invertible polynomial map $X + H$ such that $\mathcal{J}H$ is additive-nilpotent.

## 2 Additive properties of the derivative on lines

**Lemma 2.1.** *Assume $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{d-1} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i \neq 0$ for all nonempty $I \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, d-1\}$, and $P \in \mathbb{C}[[T]]$ with constant term $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 +$*

$\cdots + \lambda_{d-1}$. *Then there are* $r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_{d-1} \in \mathbb{C}$ *such that*

$$P - \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \lambda_i \exp(r_i T)$$

*is divisible by* $T^d$*, where* $\exp(T) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} T^j$.

*Proof.* Write

$$P = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{p_j}{j!} T^i$$

Then we must find a solution $(Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_{d-1}) = (r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_{d-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^{d-1}$ of

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \lambda_i Y_i^j = p_j \quad (j = 0, 1, \ldots, d-1) \tag{2.1}$$

The equation for $j = 0$ is fulfilled by assumption, and finding a solution of (2.1) is the same as finding a solution $(Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_d) = (r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_d)$ of

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \lambda_i Y_i^j = p_j Y_d^j \quad (j = 1, \ldots, d-1) \tag{2.2}$$

for which $r_d = 1$. Since $(Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_d) = 0$ is a solution of (2.2), it follows from Krull's Height Theorem that the dimension of the set of solutions $(r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_d) \in \mathbb{C}^d$ of (2.2) is at least one. Hence there exists a nonzero solution $(r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_d) \in \mathbb{C}^d$ of (2.2).

If $r_d \neq 0$, then $r_d^{-1}(r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_d)$ is a solution of (2.2) as well, because the equations of (2.2) are homogeneous. Hence $r_d^{-1}(r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_{d-1})$ is a solution of (2.1) in that case. So assume that $r_d = 0$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \lambda_i r_i^j = 0$ for all $j$. Take $e \leq d-1$ and nonzero $s_1 < s_2 < \cdots < s_e$ such that $\{0, r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_{d-1}\} = \{0, s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_e\}$. Then $e \geq 1$ because $(r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_d) \neq 0$, and

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \lambda_i r_i^j = \sum_{k=1}^{e} s_k^j \sum_{r_i = s_k} \lambda_i$$

for all $j$ such that $1 \leq j \leq e$. This means that the vector $v$ defined by $v_k := \sum_{r_i = s_k} \lambda_i$ for all $k$ satisfies $Mv = 0$, where $M$ is the Vandermonde matrix with entries $M_{jk} = s_k^j$. Since $v_k$ is nonzero by assumption for all $k$, this contradicts $\det M \neq 0$. $\square$

Let $f \in \mathbb{C}[X] = \mathbb{C}[X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n]$ be a polynomial of degree $d$ and $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Set $g(T) := f(\beta + T\gamma)$ and $D := \sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_i \frac{\partial}{\partial X_i}$. Notice that $T \mapsto D$ induces an isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}[T]$ and $\mathbb{C}[D]$. By the chain rule,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^i}{\mathrm{d}T^i}\big(f(\beta + T\gamma)\big) = \frac{\mathrm{d}^{i-1}}{\mathrm{d}T^{i-1}}\big((\mathcal{J}f)|_{\beta+T\gamma} \cdot \gamma\big)$$

$$= \frac{\mathrm{d}^{i-1}}{\mathrm{d}T^{i-1}}\big((Df)(\beta+T\gamma)\big) = (D^i f)(\beta+T\gamma)$$

follows for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ by induction on $i$. Using the Taylor series at 0 of $g$, we see that for all $c \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\begin{aligned} f(\beta+c\gamma) = g(c) &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(c-0)^i}{i!}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}^i}{\mathrm{d}T^i} g(T)\right)\bigg|_{T=0} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{c^i}{i!}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}^i}{\mathrm{d}T^i} f(\beta+T\gamma)\right)\bigg|_{T=0} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{c^i}{i!}\big((D^i f)(\beta+T\gamma)\big)\Big|_{T=0} \\ &= \big((\exp cD)f\big)\big|_{\beta+T\gamma}\Big|_{T=0} = \big((\exp cD)f\big)\big|_{\beta} \qquad (2.3) \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let* $F : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^m$ *be a polynomial map of degree* $d$ *and* $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}$ *for all* $i$*, such that* $\sum_{i\in I} \lambda_i \neq 0$ *for all nonempty* $I \subseteq \{1,2,\ldots,d-1\}$*. Assume* $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}^n$ *such that* $\gamma \neq 0$*. If every sum of* $d-1$ *directional derivatives of* $F|_{\beta+\mathbb{C}\gamma}$ *along* $\gamma$ *is nonzero (*$\lambda_i = 1$ *for all* $i$ *below), or more generally,*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \lambda_i \cdot (\mathcal{J}F)|_{\alpha_i} \cdot \gamma \neq 0$$

*for all* $\alpha_i \in \{\beta + \mu\gamma \mid \mu \in \mathbb{C}\}$*, then* $F(\beta) \neq F(\beta+\gamma)$*.*

*Proof.* Set $D := \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i \frac{\partial}{\partial X_i}$ and $P(T) := \big(\sum_{i=1}^{d-1}\lambda_i\big)T^{-1}(\exp(T)-1)$. By (2.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{d-1}\lambda_i\right)\cdot\big(F_j(\beta+\gamma)-F_j(\beta)\big) &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{d-1}\lambda_i\right)\cdot\Big(\big(\exp(D)-1\big)F_j\Big)\Big|_{\beta} \\ &= \big(DP(D)F_j\big)\big|_{\beta} = \big(P(D)(DF_j)\big)\big|_{\beta} \end{aligned}$$

for all $j$. Choose $r_i$ as in Lemma 2.1 for all $i$. From the definition of $D$ and (2.3) with $c = r_i$ and $f = DF_j$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \lambda_i \cdot (\mathcal{J}F_j)|_{\beta+r_i\gamma}\cdot\gamma &= \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \lambda_i (DF_j)(\beta+r_i\gamma) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \lambda_i \exp(r_i D)(DF_j)\right)\bigg|_{\beta} \end{aligned}$$

follows for all $j$. Since $P(T) - \sum_{i=1}^{d-1}\lambda_i \exp(r_i T)$ is divisible by $t^d$ and $DF_j$ has degree at most $d-1$, we have

$$P(D)(DF_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \lambda_i \exp(r_i D)(DF_j)$$

for all $j$. By substituting $x = \beta$ on both sides, we obtain

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \lambda_i\right) \cdot \big(F_j(\beta+\gamma) - F_j(\beta)\big) = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \lambda_i (\mathcal{J} F_j)|_{\beta + r_i\gamma} \cdot \gamma$$

for all $j$, which gives the desired result. $\square$

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let $F : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^m$ be a polynomial map of degree $d$ and $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}$ for all $i$, such that $\sum_{i\in I} \lambda_i \neq 0$ for all nonempty $I \subseteq \{1, 2, \ldots, d-1\}$. If $\operatorname{rk}(\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \lambda_i (\mathcal{J} F)|_{\alpha_i}) = n$ for all $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}^n$, then $F$ is injective.*

*If additionally $n = m$, then $F$ is an invertible polynomial map.*

*Proof.* Assume $F(\beta) = F(\beta + \gamma)$ for some $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}^n$ By Proposition 2.2, there are $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \lambda_i \cdot (\mathcal{J} F)|_{\alpha_i} \cdot \gamma = 0$$

and in particular $\operatorname{rk}\big(\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \lambda_i \cdot (\mathcal{J} F)|_{\alpha_i}\big) \neq n$.

If $n = m$, then a special case of the Cynk-Rusek Theorem in [6] (see also [13, Lemma 3] and [5]) tells us that $F$ is an invertible polynomial map in case it is injective, which is the case here. $\square$

*Remark* 2.4. When $d = 2$ or $d = 3$, Corollary 2.3 gives a result of Wang [12, Theorem 1.2.2] and one of Yagzhev [13, Theorem 1(ii)], respectively. Corollary 2.3 also generalizes [11, Theorem 2.2.1, Corollary 2.2.2].

*Remark* 2.5. Now you might think that for Theorem 2.2, the condition that there are $d-1$ collinear $\alpha_i$'s with the additive property therein is weaker than a similar property for $s$ $\alpha_i$'s, where $s \in \mathbb{N}$ is arbitrary. This is however not the case.

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let $F : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^m$ be a polynomial map of degree $\le d$ and $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

*(1) There exists $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_{d-1} \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $\sum_{i\in I} \lambda_i \neq 0$ for all nonempty $I \subseteq \{1, 2, \ldots, d-1\}$, such that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \lambda_i \cdot (\mathcal{J} F)|_{\alpha_i} \cdot \gamma \neq 0$$

*for all $\alpha_i \in \{\beta + \mu\gamma \mid \mu \in \mathbb{C}\}$.*

*(2) $F|_{\beta + \mathbb{C}\gamma}$ is linearly rectifiable (in particular injective), i.e. there exists a vector $v \in \mathbb{C}^m$ such that*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} v_j \cdot \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}T}\big(F_j(\beta + T\gamma)\big) = 1 \tag{2.4}$$

*(3) For all $s \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{s} \lambda_i \cdot (\mathcal{J}F)|_{\alpha_i} \cdot \gamma \neq 0$$

*for all $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \cdots + \lambda_s \neq 0$, and all $\alpha_i \in \{\beta + \mu\gamma \mid \mu \in \mathbb{C}\}$.*

*Proof.* Since (3) ⇒ (1) is trivial, only two implications remain.

**(2) ⇒ (3)** Assume that (2) is satisfied. Take $s \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_s \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \cdots + \lambda_s \neq 0$, and $\alpha_i \in \{\beta + \mu\gamma \mid \mu \in \mathbb{C}\}$. Each $\alpha_i$ is of the form $\alpha_i = \beta + r_i\gamma$ for some $r_i \in \mathbb{C}$. By the chain rule,

$$\begin{aligned} v^{\mathrm{t}} \cdot \left( \sum_{i=1}^{s} \lambda_i \cdot (\mathcal{J}F)|_{\alpha_i} \cdot \gamma \right) &= \sum_{i=1}^{s} \lambda_i \cdot \left( \sum_{j=1}^{m} v_j \cdot (\mathcal{J}F_j)|_{\beta + r_i\gamma} \cdot \gamma \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{s} \lambda_i \cdot \left( \sum_{j=1}^{m} v_j \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}T} \big(F_j(\beta + T\gamma)\big) \right)\Big|_{T=r_i} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{s} \lambda_i \cdot 1|_{T=r_i} = \sum_{i=1}^{s} \lambda_i \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

which gives (3).

**(1) ⇒ (2)** Assume that (2) does not hold. We will derive a contradiction by showing that (1) does not hold either.

Since $\deg_T \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}T} F_j(\beta + T\gamma) \leq d - 1$ for all $j$, the $\mathbb{C}$-space $U$ that is generated by

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}T} F_1(\beta + T\gamma), \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}T} F_2(\beta + T\gamma), \ldots, \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}T} F_m(\beta + T\gamma)$$

has dimension $s \leq d - 1$, for $1 \notin U$. Take a basis of $U$ of monic $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_s \in \mathbb{C}[T]$ such that $0 < \deg u_1 < \deg u_2 < \cdots < \deg u_s < d$. Write $u_{ji}$ for the coefficient of $T^i$ of $u_j$.

Next, define $p_i$ for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, d-1$ as follows.

$$p_i := \begin{cases} -\sum_{k=0}^{i-1} p_k u_{jk} & \text{if } u_j \text{ has degree } i. \\ \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \cdots + \lambda_{d-1} & \text{if no } u_j \text{ has degree } i, \end{cases}$$

Set $P := \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} \frac{p_k}{k!} T^k$ and choose $r_i$ as in Lemma 2.1 for all $i$. Looking at the term expansion of $u_j$, we see that

$$P\Big(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}T}\Big) u_j = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{p_k}{k!} \cdot \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(k+l)!}{l!} u_{jk} T^l$$

whence for $i = \deg u_j$

$$\left( P\Big(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}T}\Big) u_j \right)\Big|_{T=0} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k u_{jk} = p_i + \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} p_k u_{jk} = 0$$

and similarly for each $i$

$$\left(\exp\left(r_i\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}T}\right)u_j\right)\Big|_{T=0} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r_i^k u_{jk} = u_j(r_i) = u_j\big|_{T=r_i}$$

follow for all $j$.

By Lemma 2.1, $P - \sum_{i=1}^{d-1}\lambda_i \exp(r_i T)$ is divisible by $T^d$. Since $\deg u_j < d$ for all $j$,

$$0 = \left(P\Big(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}T}\Big)u_j\right)\Big|_{T=0} = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1}\lambda_i\cdot\left(\exp\left(r_i\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}T}\right)u_j\right)\Big|_{T=0} = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1}\lambda_i u_j\big|_{T=r_i}$$

Since $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}T}F_j(\beta+T\gamma)$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-linear combination of $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_s$ for all $j$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \sum_{i=1}^{d-1}\lambda_i\cdot\Big(\mathcal{J}_T\big(F(\beta+T\gamma)\big)\Big)\Big|_{T=r_i}\\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{d-1}\lambda_i\cdot\big((\mathcal{J}F)|_{\beta+T\gamma}\cdot\gamma\big)\big|_{T=r_i}\\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{d-1}\lambda_i\cdot(\mathcal{J}F)\big|_{\beta+r_i\gamma}\cdot\gamma\end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. □

*Remark* 2.7. For the map $F = (X_1 + (X_2 + X_1^2)^2, X_2 + X_1^2)$, only images of lines parallel to the $X_2$-axis are linearly rectifiable. But all images of lines are linearly rectifiable when $F = (X_1 + (X_2 + X_1^2)^2 - (X_3 + X_1^2)^2, X_2 + X_1^2, X_3 + X_1^2)$ or any other invertible cubic map over $\mathbb{C}$. This follows from the proposition below.

**Proposition 2.8.** *Let $F : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^m$ be a polynomial map of degree $\le 3$, and $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\gamma \ne 0$. If $F|_{\beta+\mathbb{C}\gamma}$ is injective and $(\mathcal{J}F)|_{X=\alpha}\cdot\gamma \ne 0$ for all $\alpha \in \{\beta + \mu\gamma \mid \mu \in \mathbb{C}\}$, then $F|_{\beta+\mathbb{C}\gamma}$ is linearly rectifiable, i.e. there exists a $v \in \mathbb{C}^m$ such that (2.4) holds.*

*Proof.* Assume $F|_{\beta+\mathbb{C}\gamma}$ is not linearly rectifiable. Then there exist monic $u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{C}[T]$ such that $\deg u_i = i$ and for all $j$, $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}T}F_j(\beta+T\gamma)$ is linearly dependent over $\mathbb{C}$ of $u_1$ and $u_2$. If the constant term $u_{10}$ of $u_1$ is nonzero, then $u_{10}$ will become zero after replacing $\beta$ by $\beta - u_{10}\gamma$ and adapting $u_1$ and $u_2$ accordingly. So assume $u_{10} = 0$ and let $u_{20}$ be the constant term of $u_2$. By taking the integral of $u_1$ and $u_2$ from $T = -\sqrt{-3u_{20}}$ to $T = +\sqrt{-3u_{20}}$, we see that $F(\beta - \sqrt{-3u_{20}}\gamma) = F(\beta + \sqrt{-3u_{20}}\gamma)$, thus either $F|_{\beta+\mathbb{C}\gamma}$ is not injective or $u_{20} = 0$. If $u_{20} = 0$, then $(\mathcal{J}F)|_{X=\beta}\cdot\gamma = 0$ because both $u_1$ and $u_2$ are divisible by $T$. This completes the proof of Proposition 2.8. □

**Corollary 2.9.** *Assume* $F : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ *is a polynomial map of degree* $\leq 3$ *which safisfies the Keller condition* $\det \mathcal{J}F \in \mathbb{C}^*$*. Then* $F$ *is invertible, if and only if* $F|_L$ *is linearly rectifiable for every affine line* $L \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$*, if and only if* $\big((\mathcal{J}F)|_\alpha + (\mathcal{J}F)|_\beta\big)(\alpha - \beta) \neq 0$ *for all* $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}^n$ *with* $\alpha \neq \beta$*.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 2.8, $F$ is invertible, if and only if $F|_L$ is linearly rectifiable for every affine line $L \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$. By Proposition 2.6, the latter is equivalent to $\big((\mathcal{J}F)|_\alpha + (\mathcal{J}F)|_\beta\big)(\alpha - \beta) \neq 0$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}^n$ with $\alpha \neq \beta$, as desired. □

*Remark* 2.10. Notice that in the proof of Lemma 2.1, we solve $d-1$ equations in $d-1$ variables to obtain $r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_{d-1}$. In case $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \cdots = \lambda_{d-1}$, it suffices to solve only one equation in only one variable to obtain $r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_{d-1}$.

*Lemma 2.1′. Let* $P \in \mathbb{C}[[T]]$ *with constant term* $d-1$*. Then there are* $r_1, r_2, \ldots,$ $r_{d-1} \in \mathbb{C}$*, which are roots of a polynomial whose coefficients are polynomials in those of* $P$*, such that*

$$P - \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \exp(r_i T)$$

*is divisible by* $T^d$*, where* $\exp(T) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} T^j$*.*

*Proof.* Write

$$P = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{p_j}{j!} T^j$$

Then we must find a solution $(Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_{d-1}) = (r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_{d-1})$ of

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} Y_i^j = p_j \quad (j = 0, 1, \ldots, d-1)$$

By Newton's identities for symmetric polynomials, there exist a polynomial $f \in \mathbb{C}[T][X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_{d-1}]$ which is injective as a function of $\mathbb{C}^{d-1}$ to $\mathbb{C}[T]$, such that

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} X_i, \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} X_i^2, \ldots, \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} X_i^{d-1}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^{d-1} (T + X_i)$$

Notice that $g := f(p_1, \ldots, p_{d-1})$ is a monic polynomial of degree $d-1$ in $T$. Hence we can decompose $g$ as

$$g = \prod_{i=1}^{d-1} (T + r_i) = f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} r_i, \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} r_i^2, \ldots, \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} r_i^{d-1}\right)$$

and the injectivity of $f$ gives the desired result. □

# 3 Additive properties of the Jacobian determinant

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let* $F : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ *be a quadratic polynomial map such that* $\det \mathcal{J}F \in \mathbb{C}$. *Then for all* $s \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\det\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i \cdot (\mathcal{J}F)|_{\alpha_i}\right) = \det\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i \cdot \mathcal{J}F\right) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i\right)^n \cdot \det \mathcal{J}F$$

*for all* $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_s \in \mathbb{C}^n$ *and all* $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_s \in \mathbb{C}$.

*Proof.* Since the entries of $\mathcal{J}F$ are affinely linear, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i \cdot (\mathcal{J}F)|_{\alpha_i} = \sigma \cdot \left(\mathcal{J}F\right)\Big|_{X = \sigma^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i \alpha_i}$$

for all $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_s \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and all $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_s \in \mathbb{C}$, in case $\sigma := \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i \neq 0$. Taking determinants on both sides, it follows from $\det \mathcal{J}F \in \mathbb{C}$ that

$$\det\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i \cdot (\mathcal{J}F)|_{\alpha_i}\right) = \det(\sigma \cdot \mathcal{J}F) = \sigma^n \cdot \det \mathcal{J}F$$

when $\sigma \neq 0$, and by continuity also in case $\sigma = 0$, as desired. □

**Lemma 3.2.** *Assume* $f \in \mathbb{C}[X]$ *has degree* $\leq d$. *If* $f$ *vanishes on the set* $S := \{a \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n \leq d\}$, *then* $f = 0$.

*Proof.* Write $f = (f|_{X_n=0}) + X_n \cdot (g|_{X_n = X_n - 1})$. By induction on $n$, $(f|_{X_n=0}) = 0$. Furthermore, if $a \in S$ and $a_n \geq 1$, then

$$g(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{n-1}, a_n - 1) = (g|_{X_n = X_n - 1})(a) = \frac{f(a) - (f|_{X_n=0})(a)}{a_n} = 0$$

thus by induction on $d$, $g = 0$. Hence $f = 0$ as well. □

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let* $f \in \mathbb{C}[X]$ *be a polynomial of degree* $\leq d$. *If* $f(a) = 0$ *for all* $a \in \mathbb{N}^n$ *such that* $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i = d$, *then* $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i - d \mid f$. *If additionally* $f$ *is homogeneous, then* $f = 0$.

*Proof.* If we substitute $X_n = d - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} X_i$ in $f$, then we get a polynomial of degree $\leq d$ which is zero on account of Lemma 3.2. Hence $X_n = d - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} X_i$ is a zero of $f \in \mathbb{C}(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_{n-1})[X_n]$ and $f$ is divisible over $\mathbb{C}(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_{n-1})$ by $\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i - d$. By Gauss' Lemma, $f$ is divisible over $\mathbb{C}[X]$ by $\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i - d$, which is only homogeneous if $d = 0$. Hence $f = 0$ when $f$ is homogeneous. □

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let $F : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^m$ be a polynomial map and $P : \mathrm{Mat}_{m,n}(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{C}$ be a polynomial of degree $\le d$ in the entries of its input matrix. Fix $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ and assume that*

$$P\biggl(\sum_{i=1}^{d} (\mathcal{J}F)|_{\alpha_i}\biggr) = \mu$$

*for all $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_d \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Then for all $s \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$P\biggl(\sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i \cdot (\mathcal{J}F)|_{\alpha_i}\biggr) = \mu = P(d\mathcal{J}F)$$

*for all $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_s \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and all $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_s \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i = d$.*

*If additionally $P$ is homogeneous, then*

$$P\biggl(\sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i \cdot (\mathcal{J}F)|_{\alpha_i}\biggr) = \biggl(\frac{1}{d}\sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i\biggr)^{\deg P} \mu = \biggl(\sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i\biggr)^{\deg P} P(\mathcal{J}F)$$

*for all $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_s \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and all $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_s \in \mathbb{C}$.*

*Proof.* Since $P\bigl(\sum_{i=1}^{d} (\mathcal{J}F)|_{\alpha_i}\bigr) = \mu$ is constant,

$$\mu = P\biggl(\sum_{i=1}^{d} (\mathcal{J}F)|_{\alpha_i}\biggr) = P(d\mathcal{J}F)$$

for all $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Take $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_s \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and let

$$f(Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_s) := P\biggl(\sum_{i=1}^{s} Y_i \cdot (\mathcal{J}F)|_{\alpha_i}\biggr) - \mu$$

Then $\deg f(Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_s) \le d$, and for all $b \in \mathbb{N}^s$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i = d$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(b) &= P\biggl(\sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i \cdot (\mathcal{J}F)|_{\alpha_i}\biggr) - \mu \\ &= P\biggl(\sum_{i=1}^{s} \sum_{j=1}^{b_i} (\mathcal{J}F)|_{\alpha_i}\biggr) - \mu = 0 \end{aligned}$$

By Corollary 3.3, $\sum_{i=1}^{s} Y_i - d \;\Big|\; f(Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_s) - \mu$, whence

$$0 \;\Bigg|\; P\biggl(\sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i \cdot (\mathcal{J}F)|_{\alpha_i}\biggr) - \mu$$

for all $b \in \mathbb{C}^s$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i = d$. This gives the first assertion of Lemma 3.4.

Assume $P$ is homogeneous. Then

$$\begin{aligned} g(Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_s) &:= P\biggl(\sum_{i=1}^{s} Y_i \cdot (\mathcal{J}F)|_{\alpha_i}\biggr) - \biggl(\frac{1}{d}\sum_{i=1}^{s} Y_i\biggr)^{\deg P} \mu \\ &= P\biggl(\sum_{i=1}^{s} Y_i \cdot (\mathcal{J}F)|_{\alpha_i}\biggr) - \biggl(\sum_{i=1}^{s} Y_i\biggr)^{\deg P} P(\mathcal{J}F) \end{aligned}$$

is homogeneous as well. Since $g$ vanishes on $b$ for all $b \in \mathbb{N}^s$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i = d$, we obtain from Corollary 3.3 that $g = 0$, which completes the proof of Lemma 3.4. □

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let $m \geq n$ and $F : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ be a polynomial map such that for a fixed $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$, we have*

$$\det\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} (\mathcal{J}F)|_{\alpha_i}\right) = \mu$$

*for all $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_m \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Then $\mu = \det(m\mathcal{J}F) = m^n \det(\mathcal{J}F)$ and for all $s \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$\det\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i \cdot (\mathcal{J}F)|_{\alpha_i}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i\right)^n \mu = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i\right)^n \det(\mathcal{J}F)$$

*for all $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_s \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and all $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_s \in \mathbb{C}$. Furthermore, $F$ is an invertible polynomial map in case* $\det \mathcal{J}F \neq 0$.

*Proof.* To obtain the first assertion, take $P = \det$, $d = m$ and $m = n$ in Lemma 3.4. By taking $s = \deg F - 1$ and $b_i = 1$ for all $i$ in this assertion, it follows from Corollary 2.3 that $F$ is an invertible polynomial map in case $\det \mathcal{J}F \neq 0$. □

**Theorem 3.6.** *Assume $H : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ is a polynomial map and define*

$$M(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_s) := (\mathcal{J}H)|_{\alpha_1} + (\mathcal{J}H)|_{\alpha_2} + \cdots + (\mathcal{J}H)|_{\alpha_s}$$

*If for some $m \geq d$, the sum of the principal minors of size $d$ of $M(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_m)$ is zero for all $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}^n$, then for all $s \in \mathbb{N}$, the sum of the principal minors of size $d$ of*

$$b_1 \cdot (\mathcal{J}H)|_{\alpha_1} + b_2 \cdot (\mathcal{J}H)|_{\alpha_2} + \cdots + b_s \cdot (\mathcal{J}H)|_{\alpha_s} \tag{3.1}$$

*is zero as well, for all $b_i \in \mathbb{C}$ and all $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}^n$. If for some $m \geq d$, the trace of $M(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_m)^d$ is zero for all $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}^n$, then for all $s \in \mathbb{N}$, the trace of the $d$-the power of (3.1) is zero as well, for all $b_i \in \mathbb{C}$ and all $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}^n$.*

*Proof.* Take for $P$ the sum of the principal minors of size $m$ or the trace of the $m$-th power, respectively. By Lemma 3.4, $P((3.1))$ is divisible by $\mu := P(m\mathcal{J}H) = P(M(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_m)) = 0$. □

*Remark* 3.7. Let $F = X + H$ such that the Jacobian matrix $\mathcal{J}H$ is additive-nilpotent. Then for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sum_{i=1}^{m}(\mathcal{J}F)|_{\alpha_i}$ is invertible for all $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}^n$. We shall show below that the converse holds when $H$ does not have linear terms. But the converse is not true in general. For example, let $F(X) = X + H$, where $H = (-X_1 + X_2, X_1 - X_2 + X_2^2)$. Then

$$\mathcal{J}H = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2X_2 - 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathcal{J}F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 2X_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

such that $\mathcal{J}H$ is not even nilpotent and $\sum_{i=1}^{2}(\mathcal{J}F)|_{\alpha_i}$ is invertible for all $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{C}^2$.

**Proposition 3.8.** *Assume $F : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ is a polynomial map of the form $F = L + H$, such that $L$ is invertible and* $\deg L = 1$. *Then for all $s \in \mathbb{N}$, all $b_i \in \mathbb{C}$, and all $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}^n$, the following statements are equivalent to each other.*

*(1) For all $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$, we have*

$$\det\left(\mu \cdot \mathcal{J}L + \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i \cdot (\mathcal{J}F)|_{\alpha_i}\right) = \left(\mu + \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i\right)^n \cdot \det(\mathcal{J}L)$$

*(2) $\sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i \cdot \big(\mathcal{J}(L^{-1} \circ H)\big)\big|_{\alpha_i}$ is nilpotent.*

*Proof.* Assume (1). Since the equality of (1) holds for all $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$, we obtain

$$\det\left(T \cdot \mathcal{J}L + \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i \cdot (\mathcal{J}F)|_{\alpha_i}\right) = \left(T + \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i\right)^n \cdot \det(\mathcal{J}L)$$

which is equivalent to

$$\det\left(\left(T - \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i\right) \cdot \mathcal{J}L + \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i \cdot (\mathcal{J}L + \mathcal{J}H)|_{\alpha_i}\right) = T^n \cdot \det(\mathcal{J}L)$$

and

$$\det\left(T \cdot \mathcal{J}L + \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i \cdot (\mathcal{J}H)|_{\alpha_i}\right) = T^n \cdot \det(\mathcal{J}L)$$

By dividing both sides by $\det(\mathcal{J}L)$, we obtain

$$\det\left(T + \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i \cdot (\mathcal{J}L)^{-1} \cdot (\mathcal{J}H)|_{\alpha_i}\right) = T^n$$

which implies (2). The converse is similar. ∎

Let $F = X + H$ such that $\mathcal{J}H$ is additive-nilpotent. Then $\sum_{i=1}^{m}(\mathcal{J}\tilde{F})|_{\alpha_i}$ is invertible for all $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and all positive integers $m$, where $\tilde{F} = L_1 \circ F \circ L_2$ for invertible linear maps $L_1$ and $L_2$. We next prove that the converse holds.

**Theorem 3.9.** *For a polynomial map* $F : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ *the following statements are equivalent.*

1. $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\mathcal{J}F)|_{\alpha_i}$ *is invertible for all* $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}^n$*;*
2. $F = L \circ (X + H)$*, where* $H$ *has no linear terms, the linear part* $L$ *of* $F$ *is invertible and* $\mathcal{J}H$ *is additive-nilpotent;*
3. $F = (X + H) \circ L$*, where* $H$ *has no linear terms, the linear part* $L$ *of* $F$ *is invertible and* $\mathcal{J}H$ *is additive-nilpotent;*
4. $F = L_1 \circ (X + H) \circ L_2$*, where* $L_1$ *and* $L_2$ *are invertible maps of degree one and* $\mathcal{J}H$ *is additive-nilpotent.*

*Proof.* Since (3) ⇒ (4) is trivial, the following three implications remain to be proved.

**(4) ⇒ (1)** Assume (4). Since $\mathcal{J}H$ is additive-nilpotent, (1) holds with $X + H$ instead of $F$. Since (1) is not affected by compositions with translations and invertible linear maps, and $F$ can be obtained from $X + H$ in that manner, (1) follows.

**(1) ⇒ (2)** Assume (1). By the fundamental theorem of algebra, the determinant of $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\mathcal{J}F)|_{\alpha_i}$ is a nonzero constant which does not depend on $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n$. Let $L$ be the linear part of $F$ . By theorem 3.5, we obtain that $\det \mathcal{J}F = \det(\mathcal{J}F)|_0 = \det \mathcal{J}L$ and that (1) of proposition 3.8 holds for all $s \in \mathbb{N}$, all $b_i \in \mathbb{C}$, and all $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Hence the Jacobian of $H := L^{-1} \circ (F - L)$ is additive-nilpotent on account of proposition 3.8, which gives the desired result.

**(2) ⇒ (3)** This follows from the fact that $F = L \circ (X + H) = \big(X + (L \circ H \circ L^{-1})\big) \circ L$ and the Jacobian of $L \circ H \circ L^{-1}$ is also additive-nilpotent. □

*Remark* 3.10. A polynomial map $F = (F_1, \ldots, F_n)$ is called triangular if its Jacobian matrix is triangular, i.e. either above or below the main diagonal, all entries of $\mathcal{J}F$ are zero. The Jacobian matrix $\mathcal{J}F$ of a triangular invertible polynomial map $F$ can only have nonzero constants on the main diagonal, and thus for all invertible linear maps $L_1$ and $L_2$, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \big(\mathcal{J}(L_1 \circ F \circ L_2)\big)\big|_{\alpha_i}$ is invertible for all $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}^n$. However, a polynomial map satisfying the conditions of Theorem 3.9 is not necessarily a composition of a triangular map and two linear maps. Indeed, in [10], it was shown that in dimension 5 and up, Keller maps $X+H$ with $H$ quadratic homogeneous do not necessarily have the property that $\mathcal{J}H$ is strongly nilpotent. But on account of Proposition 3.1, such maps satisfy property (1) of Theorem 3.9.

In [4], all those maps such that $\mathcal{J}H$ is not strongly nilpotent are determined in dimension 5. $H$ is either of the form

$$H = L^{-1} \circ \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \lambda X_1^2 \\ X_2X_4 \\ X_1X_3 - X_2X_5 \\ X_1X_4 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ p(X_1, X_2) \\ q(X_1, X_2) \\ r(X_1, X_2) \end{pmatrix} \right) \circ L$$

where $\lambda \in \{0, 1\}$, $L$ is linear and $p, q, r \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]$, or of the form

$$H = L^{-1} \circ \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ X_1X_3 \\ X_2^2 - X_1X_4 \\ 2X_2X_3 - X_1X_5 \\ X_3^2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \lambda_2 X_1^2 \\ \lambda_3 X_1^2 \\ \lambda_4 X_1^2 \\ \lambda_5 X_1^2 \end{pmatrix} \right) \circ L$$

where $L$ is linear and $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}$. One can show that in both cases, the columns of $\mathcal{J}(L \circ H \circ L^{-1})$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{C}$, something that cannot be counteracted with compositions with invertible linear maps. Hence the columns of $\mathcal{J}(L_1 \circ H \circ L_2)$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{C}$ for all invertible maps $L_i$. $\mathcal{J}(L_1 \circ H \circ L_2)$ is exactly the linear part of $\mathcal{J}(L_1 \circ F \circ L_2)$, thus $\mathcal{J}(L_1 \circ F \circ L_2)$ can only be triangular if its main diagonal is not constant on one of its ends. This is however not possible since $L_1 \circ F \circ L_2$ is invertible.

# References

[1] Bass, H., Connell, E., Wright, D. (1982). The Jacobian conjecture: Reductin of degree and formal expansion of inverse. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 7: 287–330.

[2] De Bondt, M., Van den Essen, A. (2005). A reduction of the Jacobian conjecture to the symmetric case. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 133(8): 2201–2205.

[3] De Bondt, M., Van den Essen, A. (2005). The Jacobian conjecture for symmetric Drukowski mappings. *Ann. Polon. Math.* 86(1): 43–46.

[4] De Bondt, M. (2009). *Homogeneous Keller maps.* Ph.D. thesis. Nijmegen: Radboud University .
`http://webdoc.ubn.ru.nl/mono/b/bondt_m_de/homokema.pdf`

[5] Borel, A. (1969). Injective endomorphisms of algebraic varieties. *Arch. Math. (Basel)* 20: 531–537.

[6] Cynk, S., Rusek, L. (1991). Injective endomorphisms of algebraic and analytic sets. *Ann. Polon. Math.* 56: 29–35.

[7] Drużkowski, L. M. (1983).An effective approach to the Jacobian conjecture. *Math. Ann.* 264: 303–313.

[8] Van den Essen, A. (2000). *Polynomial Automorphisms and the Jacobian Conjecture*. Progress in Mathematics, Vol. 190. Basel, Boston, Berlin: Birkhäuser.

[9] Van den Essen, A., Hubbers, E. (1996). Polynomial maps with strongly nilpotent Jacobian matrix and the Jacobian conjecture. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 247: 121–132.

[10] Meisters, G. H., Olech, C. (1991). Strong nilpotence holds in dimensions up to five only. *Linear and Multilinear Algebra.* 30(4): 23–255.

[11] Sun, X. (2009). *Polynomial Maps with Additive-nilpotent Jacobian Matrices.* Ph.D. thesis. Changchun: Jilin University.

[12] Wang, S. (1980). A Jacobian criterion for separability. *J. Algebra* 65(2): 453–494.

[13] Yagzhev, A. (1980). On Keller's problem. *Siberian Math. J.* 21(5): 747–754.

[14] Yu, J. (1996). On generalized strongly nilpotent matrices. *Linear Multilinear Algebra* 41(1): 19–22.